

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office. Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS: 1998-1999 (1998) (19

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

		1 77 3000		and the second of the second o
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,658	02/15/2002	Marc Husemann	tesa AG 1525-WCG	2957
	590 12/08/2004		EXAM	IINER
NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A. 875 THIRD AVE			COLE, ELIZABETH M	
18TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10022			1771	
	•		DATE MAILED: 12/08/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/077,658	HUSEMANN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Elizabeth M. Cole	1771		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wi			
A SH THE - External after after - If the - If NC - Failu Any earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON'	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.		
Status					
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	September 2004.			
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	rance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims		·		
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-13 is/are pending in the a	unnlication			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awn nom consideration.			
	Claim(s) 1-3, 5-13 is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.			
	on Papers				
9)[] -	The specification is objected to by the Examin	nor.			
10) 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cented or h) objected to b	u the Evenine		
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) he held in abovene	y the Examiner.		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11)[] 7	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer Note the attached	Office Action or form RTO 152		
		sammor. Note the attached	Office Action of form P10-152.		
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigi ☐ All b)	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	 Certified copies of the priority documen 				
	 Certified copies of the priority documen 	ts have been received in App	olication No		
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage		
* ^	application from the International Burea				
* 56	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	eceived.		
tachment(
Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)		
🔲 Informa	of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/f 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Patent and Trac DL-326 (Rev	lemark Office	,			

Application/Control Number: 10/077,658

Art Unit: 1771

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/30/04 has been entered.

Page 2

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over DE 19807752 to Harder et al, (equivalent to U.S. Patent No. 6,432,529) for the reasons set forth in paragraph 2 of the previous office action. With regard to the limitation that the claimed composition "comprises a residual volatile component fraction of in total less than 50 ug/g", Harder teaches at col. 7, lines 1-13 that the adhesive composition may have a fogging number of 100 and can be rated as 1, which means that no residues are detectable. Harder teaches that the adhesive contains "minimal to no residues". While Harder does not disclose the amount of volatile residue in the terms claimed in the instant claims, Harder discloses that the maximum content is 1% but that the composition may be such that no volatile residues are present. Further, Harder teaches the same composition as the instantly claimed composition and the same materials can not have different properties. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Application/Control Number: 10/077,658 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

4. Claims 1-3, 5-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harder et al DE 19807752 (equivalent to US 6,432,529) in view of Harder et al, DE 4313008, (equivalent to Harder et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,613,870 for the reasons set forth in paragraph 3 of the previous office action.

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 9/30/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Harder does not teach the claimed residual volatile component fraction of less than 50 ug/g. However, Harder teaches that the adhesive preferably contains no volatile residues and that the composition may be rated as 1 and have a fogging number of 100 which means that no residues are detectable. Therefore, if no residues are present, this would meet the claimed residual volatile component fraction. With regard to the arguments regarding the lack of enablement in Harder, since Harder teaches how to make the claimed tape and composition so that it has a fogging number of 100, the Harder reference is enabling.
- 6. With regard to Harder '008, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ an entrainer as taught by Harder '008 to assist in the devolatilization process, (see col. 2, lines 21-23).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (571) 272-1475. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 6:00 PM Monday through Wednesday, and 6:30 AM and 2 PM on Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (571) 272-1478.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 1771

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax number for all official faxes is (703) 872-9306.

Elizabeth M. Cole Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

e.m.c